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Abstract

With Barack Obama's win as US President, history has been made. This historical change raises the possibility that racial attitudes will also change. One group of particular interest is those people who hold explicitly racist views, particularly toward African-Americans. How will openly racist people understand this new power shift? How will they respond to a black nomination? It seems likely that this shift can be seen in the attitudes directed toward Obama himself. A content analysis of fifteen hate group websites was undertaken in order to determine racist perspectives pre- and post-election. Three different websites were used for each of five racial hate categories. From those websites, ten forums and twenty posts within each forum were analyzed. Posts from the month before and the month after official national elections were analyzed. Posts were coded for both the writers' representations of Barack Obama (e.g., as an animal, as a communist, etc.) and their suggested behavioral responses to his Presidency (e.g., violence, racial segregation, etc.). Connections will be made between representations and behavioral responses and both categories will be compared before and after the election. The study highlights continued racial discrimination within the political sphere and has practical implications for home-grown terrorist efforts because of attitude-behavior connections.
Hate Group Impressions of Barack Obama: Pre and Post the 2008 Election

In 2008 Barack Obama ran for presidency and was the first black person to be a real contender for this lauded position. His run struck a chord of hope for many Americans, some of whom thought they would never see a black American President. His presidency has lead some to suggest that racism is no longer a concern in the United States. Issues like the Bradley effect (would a white person really vote for a black person) suggest that race is still a concern for common Americans, as does the fact that race has the power to determine most of our life outcomes (Willis-Esqueda 2008). Racist groups still exist online and their nature is against moves toward greater equality among the races.

Racists are now pontificating their ideologies online (Adams & Roscigno, 2005; Back, 2002). Online these groups are more able to seek out new members than in person, because they can spread their views to other conservative groups. Racist ideologies are often political in nature and may negatively influence the outcome of this country (Back, 2002; Etter, McElreath, & Quarles, 2005). These people may engage in race riots and possible assassination attempts against Obama. How will racial hate group members understand this shift of power, from black people as minorities, safe targets for prejudice, to a black leader of this nation?

In our nation’s history all presidents were white males. Racists may have had some hope that these men were perhaps a bit pro-white as well. With Obama’s presidency they are unlikely to have such hope because they would have little reason to believe that he would favor another group. This situation can diminish the self-esteem of the group as a whole. A loss of self-esteem can lead to greater prejudice (Fein, and
Spencer, 1997). If an Obama Presidency does result in decreased self-esteem, perhaps because these people would feel less capable of making a difference, then we would expect greater prejudice following the election.

**Literature Review**

Prejudice carries with it certain attitudes and beliefs about the negatively viewed member. These beliefs lead to negative depictions, or representations, of the outgroup, which are somewhat varied by the importance of the situation. How do representations and responses to Barack Obama change after the election for hate group members?

**Who is Involved**

Although hate group members are of every age and social status, there stereotyped image is much different. Hate group members are commonly portrayed as young lower-class men with histories of abuse, but Turpin-Petrosino (2002) found that social relationships with another hate group member was highly related to future involvement with that group even when other factors were controlled for. Association with hate group members leads to greater acceptance of their views and eventual belief in those views. Group members are also drawn in by accepting small arguments as truth and progressively accepting more racist beliefs until they have been socialized into the group (Apple & Messner, 2001). Hate group members are typically considered to be men, with women’s roles in the groups being less important. Historically few women were involved because the focus was on “protecting white womanhood” (Blee, 2005; Etter et al., 2005). Women’s activity in hate groups is steadily increasing (Blee, 2005). This change suggests that groups are striving to keep up with social trends, possibly as a way to maintain their groups.
What they do

In an effort to revamp their historic image to increase their appeal to new members hate groups are striving for a normalization of their image, sometimes by offering entertainment at popular racing events (Cooter, 2006) and sometimes by educating children with kid’s pages or “truth sites” about Martin Luther King, Jr. or news web sites (Gerstenfeld et al., 2003). Web sites are more commonly offering single’s pages so that like minded people can get together (Back, 2002). These activities increase the use of these websites and keep members involved because they have less need to associate with others. The group is more important in daily life and racial association becomes normalized, at least to those involved. Well-written books and magazines also teach racists how to frame their arguments in a pro-white and tribally concerned way (Berbrier, 1999). This has the effect of making their arguments seem to be less focused on negative aspects of the other group and more focused on their own group’s positive behaviors.

This mainstreaming behavior helps to ease the stigma they experience from their involvement in these groups. The increase in intellectual behaviors increases the respectability of the groups and allows them some connection to politics. Hate groups are historically political (Etter et al., 2005) and are likely to use their influence in ways that may help them.

How Racism Occurs

Racial awareness prevails in our society, and this leads to insidious unconscious prejudices. This learned prejudice (or aversive racism) is believed to result from group identification and in-group preference because of the categorization (Parens, 2007;
Dovido, Gaertner, & Pearson, 2005). This can lead to more outward expressions of prejudice, particularly when accountability is uncertain and fairness between one’s own group and the out group is concerned (Dovido et al., 2005). Making these distinctions can lead to discrimination and other unfair behaviors. This is an example of realistic group conflict theory which suggests that resource competition leads to dislike of the out-group. This kind of prejudice is not the type that leads immediately to recognizing oneself as a racist and joining online hate groups. But it can eventually lead there as identification with a group increases and becomes more personally influential.

Presently there is great economic instability and uncertainty in the United States. This situation would seem to make competition more likely because the individual person can afford fewer goods and services. They may feel less capable of providing for their families and for the future. Middle class members may never have experienced these concerns, perhaps leading them to understand these issues as a lowering of their social status. Crocker (1987) found that lower social status is associated with higher prejudice. Historically, during times of plenty there came to be greater equality between the genders and races (Cable, Mix 2003). This shows that the greater social structure does have an effect on race relations. Perhaps these current concerns may lead to greater disparities and increased racial hate among the groups.

Sternberg and Sternberg (2008) say that it is as easy to hate an individual as it is to hate a group. The authors go on to agree with McAdams’ storied self, saying “love and hate both originate in stories others tell one, and then ultimately, that one tells oneself” (Sternberg et al., 2008). These stories, if shared among a group, may amount to the education of prejudice, one way of indoctrinating new members to the group (Parens,
Negative arousal related to an out-group can also lead to misattribution of the discomfort and learned discomfort of the out-group itself (Dovidio et al., 2005). This pairing becomes a conditioned response and may effect future situations. Emotion then can lead to action and perhaps self-labeling as racist. Hunt (2007) found that situational factors like being in a group, and concern for one’s own group, can lead to hate crimes, as a way of insuring individuation of groups and lifting self-esteem. These crimes are ways of putting down other groups and so make the winning group seem more powerful in comparison. This type of self-esteem depends on others feeling powerless, and focuses on engagement in negative acts.

It is hypothesized that more violent and isolation type responses will be made online following the election than proceeding it because groups will seek to shield themselves from out groups. Hate group members will be more inclined to suggest violence and isolation as a way of feeling superior to the out group.

Methods

Websites chosen for this study were chosen from lists by the Southern Poverty Law Center (2008). All of the websites chosen came from one of five categories of racial hate: Christian Identity, KKK, Neo-Nazi, Racist Skinhead, and White Nationalist. The Southern Poverty Law Center lists other categories of racial hate but these groups were not used either because the groups were poorly represented online or because they were pro-black. Classifications for the websites used were verified from the literature about the
website, the Southern Poverty Law Center’s classification from the website, and if possible from the website’s self-report of ideological views and principles.

Dozens of websites are available but only fifteen of those websites were chosen because they have regularly updated forum pages and are connected to other hate websites. Each category of hate was represented by the three most updated web-pages with forums. The focus on regularly updated web-pages should lead to having representations made by highly involved members of the movement. Websites chosen have been up at least two years, as determined by self-report or archiving age.

Within every website ten forums were used. Each of these forums directly related to Obama and/or the political situation, which is meant to ensure that the content of the posts relates to the question research questions. Within each forum ten posts were analyzed. This leads to 100 posts within each website and 300 posts within each category of hate. See Figure 1.
Data for this study were taken from posts made pre and post the Presidential Election on November 4th 2008, specifically posts made during the months of October and December. This will be done to control for the time effects of the President Elect taking office in January, as that may affect the content of the forums.

Within the forums two measures were taken. The first measure is categorical, as it describes how each group views President elect Obama. Hate groups may favor different negative representations of Obama possibly reflecting their ideologies. A change toward one groups perspective would suggest the centrality of that group to the whole movement.

**Representation of Obama:** Content related to Barack Obama was rated by incidence of representation. He was viewed as an animal (eg. monkey, rodent), something
less than human (half-breed, alien), as having personality flaws (corrupt, two-faced), as
being un-American (Socialist, in league with terrorists), and in a negative Religious
manner (Muslim, Devil, son of Cain). Tallies of these references were used to determine
the overall representation of Obama within that website and then within the individual
hate group.

Every post was counted individually by this system. If a representation was used
ten times within one post (Obama as a monkey, for example) then only one tally was
made. However, if in that post he was also called a snake, both representations were
tallied even though they are both Animal representations. A post of agreement was only
counted if the person typed the words themselves, not if they simply sited agreement with
a previous post. This was meant to keep the tallies from being overly inflated. (See Index
for Further Coding).

The second measure examines their opinions about what kind of response to take
to Obama’s presidency. It is possible that different views of Obama will lead to different
responses of what to do, particularly post election. Representation and response
connections may be found which would enable others to gauge how violent local hate
groups might be, if any connections are found. A rise in these suggested responses may
provoke action.

**Suggested Response to Obama’s Presidency**: Once his presidency became a real
possibility hate group members had to decide what response to make. Five response
categories were created to code these responses. The responses ranged from Violence
(kill him, start a race war), to Isolation (move to an all-white neighborhood/country), and
to Social Action (demand a recount, recruit more members). The other responses were
Arm (buy guns/archery equipment) and Passive Subversion, which is not trying to change the situation (refuse to see him as president, ignore his existence).

Each forum was rated by one rater and some of them were, at random, rated by another rater. This process is meant to insure that the constructs measured are constant through time and the reliability of the measures is acceptable.

Results

If the movement is considered as a whole, with all hate groups combined, there are some differences between their Representations and Responses before and after the election. Figures 2 and 3 depict these changes as a percentage of the total Representations and Responses before and after the election.

Figure 2. Representational Changes Pre and Post the Election
Figure 3. Response Changes Pre and Post the Election

For the following analysis each website has been grouped with the two similar websites in order to express the hate group’s perspective. This assumption may introduce some error, because each website is not exactly the same as its counterparts, but for ease of analysis it is necessary to group something. Further, these websites profess the same beliefs and should be very similar.

The data are expressed in two tables. Table 1 contains the representations and Table 2 contains the responses. The numbers contained within are the counts of each category of representation and response for that Hate Group. Significant differences will be determined by p values of .01 or better. A more difficult level of significance is used because the chi squares were figured using the total number of Representations (or Responses) for all categories of hate.
Table 1. Representations for Each Hate group Pre and Post the Election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KKK Pre</th>
<th>KKK Post</th>
<th>Neo Nazi Pre</th>
<th>Neo Nazi Post</th>
<th>Christ ID Pre</th>
<th>Christ ID Post</th>
<th>Skin head Pre</th>
<th>Skin head Post</th>
<th>White N. Pre</th>
<th>White N. Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Human</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality Flaw</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-American</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>78.34</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These chi values were figured using the total number of Representations pre/post.

Table 2. Responses for Each Hate group Pre and Post the Election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KKK Pre</th>
<th>KKK Post</th>
<th>Neo Nazi Pre</th>
<th>Neo Nazi Post</th>
<th>Christ ID Pre</th>
<th>Christ ID Post</th>
<th>Skin head Pre</th>
<th>Skin head Post</th>
<th>White N. Pre</th>
<th>White N. Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arm</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.922</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Action</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>.264</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass. Subv.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isol</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi, P</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>34.15</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These chi values were figured using the total number of Responses pre/post.

Discussion
When the hate groups are combined, as if they were just one movement, the pattern alters throughout each category of Representation and Response. Un-American and Personality Flaw Representations decrease after the election. These Representations are still the most common after the election, however. Animal, Less Human, and Religious Representations increase following the election. Together it seems that the groups had less concern about Obama personally and were more concerned with him as a black man.

The most prevalent Response, Social Action, does decrease after the election. This suggests that the groups felt in some ways less capable of changing the system after the election than they did before the election. Violence and Passive Subversive Responses seem to remain steady before and after the election. Arm and Isolation Responses increase after the election when all of the hate groups are considered. Now that the overall hate movement has been considered it is necessary to look at each group individually. For the following analysis only p values of .01 are considered significant.

**KKK**

There were no significant Representational changes pre and post the election. Animal Representations by the KKK do increase after the election and this difference does approach significance at p=.02. Un-American Representations also decrease after the election and approaches significance at p=.16. The KKK do show significantly fewer Social Action responses at p=.001 and show significantly more Violent responses at p=.001. In the post analysis Violent responses were more prevalent for the KKK than any other response. Previously Social Action Responses were most prevalent, which suggests that KKK members may be more likely to engage in violence.
Neo-Nazi

Neo-Nazis made significantly fewer Personality Flaw representations of Obama at p=.01. They also made more Religious and Less Human Representations of Obama, although these were not significant at p=.03 and p=.02 respectively. The Neo-Nazi groups suggested significantly more Arm responses at p=.001 level and significantly fewer Passive Subversive responses at the .001 level. They also suggested more Violent responses than any other group before or after the election. Although the differences were not significant these numbers do suggest that the Neo-Nazi’s interest in paramilitary maneuvers is being expressed online.

Christian Identity

The Christian Identity group had the highest number of significant differences between the pre and post analysis. This, and the great increase in these numbers, may suggest that the Christian Identity group was most in turmoil about Obama’s presidency. The Christian Identity showed significantly more Less Human and Religious Representations at the p=.001 level for both. They also had significantly fewer Un-American Representations of Obama at the p=.001 level. The Christian Identity showed significantly more Arm and Isolation Responses at the p=.001 level for both. Finally they showed significantly fewer Social Action Responses at the p=.001 level. Taken together it seems possible that the Christian Identity members believe that Obama’s presidency is a sign of the great tribulation. Watching their activities is suggested as unhappy, armed, isolated extremists might do something dangerous.

Skinhead
Racist Skinheads showed increased Animal Representations of Obama after the election at the $p=.001$ level. No other Representations approached significance for the Skinheads. Although there were some slight differences in Responses none of these differences were significant or approaching significance. Although their proclivities toward violence are almost legend Violent Responses were not the most common Responses for the Skinheads and these Responses actually decreased somewhat following the election. This issue suggests that the Skinheads, who are so active in the real world, are less active online. The extreme youth of these Skinheads might also suggest that they have not had the benefit of experiencing how influential a President can be, which might mean that they are less focused on the election than other groups are. It is a matter for future research.

White Nationalist

There were no significant differences in White Nationalist Representations of Obama. Three categories did approach significance. Religious and Less Human Representations were near significance at $p=.06$ and $p=.04$ level respectively. Un-American Representations decreased at the near significance level of $p=.05$. Social Action Responses significantly decreased at the .01 level and Passive Subversion Responses significantly increased at the .001 level. No other Responses were near significance.

One issue with accepting these results as the true Representation and Response changes for these hate groups is that White Nationalists in particular had many moderators on their websites. These moderators were tasked with removing any inappropriate or dangerous material posted. Specifically they removed Violent
suggestions. The websites removed this material in an effort to stay online, because they knew that they were being monitored by anti-racist groups. This self-monitoring behavior has no doubt had some effect upon the analysis of their websites. Another method to validate these results, or find the truth of their opinions, is suggested.

Research Concerns

While researching Hate Group opinion from their internet discussions does have the benefit of being easier to infiltrate than groups located only in the physical world, there are several issues with this methodology. The main concern is that Hate Group websites are increasingly interconnected online (Burris, Smith, Strahm, 2000; Gersetenfeld et al., 2003). Some research has suggested that this makes it possible for the newly aware racists to shop around for the group that suits them best (JBHE 1999). These websites often have links to one another and may have some shared community members. It is possible that there is an increasing overlap in their viewpoints, which may be something of interest for future researchers. This interconnection makes examination of any one group’s more central viewpoints more difficult to research.

The online nature of their discourse is less secure than physical meetings, which may offer an alternative explanation of why these websites have moderators. It is necessary for the group’s survival online for the members to be somewhat more circumspect in their posts. On an individual level the opposite issue may also occur in because the use of screen names may make them bolder and less weary of dangers. These are issues which may be best served by some comparative work, if a way of devising how connected hate speech online is to hate speech within physical groups. Learning more about this issue may examine how important this study actually is. If the groups are too
disparate then this research may be less applicable to groups that exist mainly in the real world. Also, this research should not be too judiciously applied to future minority nominations. The social situation changes too rapidly and both the people running and the object of racial hatred are affected by the times (Harris-Lacewell 2003).

The research done here was unable to keep track of the number of participants involved. In some ways the number of participants was indirectly controlled for; using large groups, multiple forums, and three different webpages, but the numbers are inexact.

Future research should keep track of the exact Representations and Responses. More specific connects may be possible between the two measures if that data is kept. There may also be differences between the groups on what specific Representations are used. For example, the researcher’s impression is that the KKK more commonly used monkey representations of Obama than the White Nationalists who seemed to view him more commonly as a mongrel. Both of these are Animal Representations although they do have a slightly different flavor. (See the Index for more specific examples).

Racist groups are still common in the United States. It is suggested that methods be taken to decrease their prevalence. Although nothing can be done about the history of racism in America, and hate speech is most effective when the target has a history of exploitation and subjugation (Waltman & Hass, 2007), ameliorating the ease that people can engage in this behavior does seem like a useful method for decreasing racism in America. Some researchers find that government anti-hate messages are ineffective at reducing race crimes (Dixon & Gadd, 2006). Anti-hate messages may actually raise awareness of the group, and through that may increase membership. Attention on the group could, like a classroom bully, reinforce the group’s racist speech and behavior.
Recent activism has helped to lessen the racial divide, by providing loans without racial qualifications, fairer job hiring practices, and more equal public educations, but many authors call for more efforts within this area (Willis-Esqueda, 2008; Glaser, Dixit, & Green, 2002; Dixon & Gadd, 2006). The internet itself may be one way of increasing connections among the races and decreasing prejudiced behavior because the internet offers a way to interact without first knowing race (Kang 2000). Methods to increase equality are likely to be effective at decreasing racism should be considered in the future.
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**Codebook**

Within the forums, two measures will be taken. The measures are categorical.

Coding can be done for posts which possess only one of these measurements, but if a post has both a representation and a response both should be recorded.
Representation of Obama: Content related to Barack Obama will be rated by determining his overall presentation in the forum. There are 6 possible categories; animal (eg. monkey, rodent), less than human (half-breed, alien), personality flaws (racist, two-faced), un-American (Socialist, in league with terrorists), and in a negative Religious manner (Muslim, Devil, son of Cain). Tallies of these representations will be used to determine the overall representation of Obama within that forum and then within the individual website.

Every post will be counted individually within these margins. If a representation is used ten times within one post (Obama as a monkey, for example) then only one tally will be made. However, if in that post he is also called a jackal both representations will be tallied. A post of agreement will only be counted if the person types the words themselves not if they simply agree. This should keep the tallies from being overly inflated.

The second measure of hate group representation of Obama will measure their opinions about what kind of response to take to this presidency. It is possible that different views of Obama will lead to different responses of what to do, particularly post election.

Suggested Response to Obama’s Presidency: Obama’s popularity with Democrats and independent voters commonly lead to discussion of responses to his possible (and then later, certain) Presidency. These responses types range from arm (buy guns/archery equipment, learn to use them), social action (get an education, spread the literature more), violence (kill him, control our women), passive subversion (ignore the media, refuse to see him as president), isolation (send the blacks back, move to a white
country/town), and other. Social action involves trying to keep blacks down and/or whites up during Obama’s presidency and trying to lawfully stop his presidency before it occurs. Passive subversion is very inactive. These responses are for not accepting but do not seek to change Obama’s presidency. Coding for responses will be necessary to assess the represented level of negative response for each website. More violent responses, for example, could lead to more anticipated turmoil in the country.

duke of hazzard 0909
Forum Member

cant believe obama got presendency....me and some of the kids in my school wore clothes like we were goin to a funeral after election night to mourne the death of our country... how can u expect him to run the country when 10 of those blue lips cant run mcdonalds but its ok cus ive never heard of a black keepin a job for 4 years

Representation: Personality flaw (lazy).
Response: No specific response.

JDSeeger
Forum Member

I agree I am so tired of these stupid, and usually fat, white women that are either married or living with a negroid (if he is even around in the first place), and they usually have a zebra kid or kids. That is something that i just wish I could utterly destroy them. I don't know which is worse the porch monkey, or the white cow that is with them.

Getting back to that f@cking commander and chimp elect every effort is needed to either end him or make these next months/years a living hell. What I hate is the fact I live in MN and they used their votes to elect that piece of shiite. Speaking of shiite; you just wait and see instead of a Bible to be sworn in on, it will be the koran. Like he did when it became a senator. I am sure everyone here already know that we have "a wolf in sheeps clothing" this jiggerbo a muslim but he couldn't be elected if the U.S. knew that. There is so much that the dem's have hidden from the American people, and we have the liberal media, that is sucking up to the dem's. Stop reading the newspapers, almost all are liberal run, stop watching t.v. news, except for maybe fox and then sometimes I wonder about them, and definitely use common sense when coming online.

Since I cannot purchase firearms anymore, I am gonna purchase archery items. I know

1 Jiggerboo, according to the urban dictionary, refers to an unknown “nigger”. It is un-score-able as a word because Obama’s blackness is known to all, and this man is referring to it in a negative way, but not through another representation.
they don't have the distance but being armed in any way is better than not, and I don't go anywhere without a knife. In the town I live in now the increase of different races is unbelievable, I grew up in this town and we didn't have any then but now all the jobs go to them and now the whites will be the minority all to soon.

Representation: Animal (chimp-elect). Religious (Muslim).
Response: Violence (kill Obama). 2 for Passive subversion (make his life hard and ignore the media).

Be careful, even though black people are called “porch monkeys” this is not a direct connection to Obama. Later the author refers to the “chimp elect”, which is referencing Obama. The monkey representation fits under the category animal. The author then suggests 1) killing Obama, 2) making life difficult for him. Obama is then referenced as a Muslim, so score one for Religious categorization. The author then suggests 3) stop paying attention to the media. Although he/she tells us his/her plans of buying archery equipment he/she does not suggest that we do so, so this should not be tallied. Responses are categorized under violence and passive subversion.

---

Grimmorium

I agree. I can't buy guns anymore either. But I've been looking at blackpowder guns (still considered firearms in MN but not most other places, ship straight to your house). Knives are a must. and I was thinking about maybe a high caliber Air rifle. they can easily kill deer. archery is a bit expensive, but extremely quite. If you can buy them go straight for the pistol conceal and carry, cause when obama is prez they will be off the market you mark my words.

Representation: none
Response: Arm (buy black powder guns)

This post suggests 1) arming as an appropriate response. It does not represent Obama in any negative way. Remember that agreement with a previous post does not get scored.

---

prototrakcnc1

Obama (osama) may have had a "white" mother. But she is atheist. This breed's father is muslim. Will he swear in on the koran? or a blank sheet of paper? People believe what they want to in someone. Some will put their own dignity aside in hopes this breed will give them a hand out. He aint prez yet!

Representation: Religious (Muslim) Un-American (terrorist).
Response: None.

This post begins with a play on Obama’s name. He is categorized as a Muslim and as a terrorist in one word. Both items need to be scored. They fit under the religious and un-American categories. There are no suggested responses.

---

crs1981

Quote:

Originally Posted by Grimmorium
The Jews have this shit down to a science> http://www.aztlan.net/protocols.htm

Quote:
What we need to do now, NO what he HAVE to do is organize. We need to start keeping things white, Date white, Buy white etc. We need to start making white communities, white organizations and clubs. Everyone else of every race can do that except ours. Any person of any race can have something around 10 people living in one house and its socially exceptable but when they are white they are called bums. Jews live with/off their parents until they die. and if you do that as a white man/woman you are a bum, nothing else a straight BUM. and it's all built into society for us to look at things this way. Because this is how you SAVE MONEY. you keep it in the family, so people don't f^ck you over.

Correct me if I'm wrong. Please. But I think the most important thing for us to do right now is start making gun clubs on private property. Anyone here for minnesota? Just start listing your state and find people with the same interests and beliefs.

Your Right, This is how the Hispanics grew so strong in what seemed to be almost over night. They can and will continue to thrive b/c they use there own supermarkets, radio stations, clothing stores, gas stations, real estate agents, ect. They have there own underground economy. While we nickel and dime our selves on Chinese BS. They don’t need us, but they are the largest and fastest growing minority in the nation and that’s why. The point im getting at is as they continue to grow and new generations are born they aren’t siding with us they side with the blacks.... which in turn makes them stronger. As you stated "save your money" It's not like we can run them off FORCE isnt going to work anymore. I’m sorry but they are here. At this point in history obviously something on our side isn’t working maybe its time to step back reorganize thoughts and strategize b/c as of today what we are doing isn't working. The only person you hurt by getting mad and wanting to fight is you... nobody else CARES... The way to success is through capitalization. Today that’s the only way to get ahead. Everything is a business and must be treated like. Not getting up on a podium and chanting in public. Money is they way you’re going to win. YOU WANT TO GET AHEAD? YOU WANT TO WIN? THEN EDUCATE YOURSELF AND CAPITALIZE ON ALL OPERTUNITIES! BARACK IS PRESIDENT-ELECT TODAY B/C HE OUTSMARTED AND B/SED THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF PEOPLE IN AMERICA.

USE YOUR MIND....and for many here spell check

Response: Isolation (flee).

This article represents Obama as a liar, so score one for personality factor. Take care, though, that you do not look for more representations just because the post is long. Responses suggested for his presidency are 1)use capitalization, make money, 2) be educated. These are both examples of social action.

OH******S NEED TO START PACKING

Representation: none
Response: Isolation (flee).
The suggested response here is 1) flee. This fits under the category isolation. The context of the post (and in this case the author’s screen-name) explains why.

**Wayfarer**
Forum Member

Yes, we are brothers, and must stand our ground! Our forefathers made some serious mistakes and decisions, and our generation is paying the consequences, which I’m afraid are only going to get worse for us and future generations. We must personally begin or get involved in some grassroot anti-nig/dem organizations. I believe that the "ACLU" and "Move on" are two of the major organizations that need to be brought down low. Any vote or action against, whether you agree totally or not with all positions, is a vote against this progressive liberal thrust.

In today's America, open declarations of anti-black is dangerous, but is it anymore threatening than what statistics prove about the onslaught of criminal behavior by the nigs in our streets? If they (media) would show the realities of criminal statistics concerning the worthlessness of the everyday nig, and start declaring the truth about it, then maybe people would see the foolishness of their attitudes today.

I am hoping someone will pull a "M.L.K." on this Barack Obama. This nig is as anti-white as they come. He is reveling at the thought of controlling and being the big dog of America. My friends, the consequences of giving the blacks even more rights than they have already will end up being the most devastating thing that has happened to this Country (USA) in a long time, going back to Lincoln's revolution. Mark my word, it is going to come back and bite us in the ass, even more so, if this stupidity is not recognized and stopped.

Compile honest statistics of the criminal behavior of blacks and begin posting them everywhere and support organizations that are fighting this attack on white America. Fight, whether overtly or covertly, either way, just fight it!

Representation: Personality Flaw (anti-white).
Responses: 4 Social action (shut down liberal organizations, become more involved, spread the word, fight for whiteness)
This post references Obama as anti-white (Personality Flaw) and can be tallied. The overall message has several suggested responses 1) shut down liberal organizations, 2) Become more involved in “anti-nig” organizations, 3) get out the message of black inequality 4) {generally} fight. These responses fit under the social action category. His/Her statement about MLK is not tallied because it is a hope and not a should statement.

**kbeemer**
Forum Member

I feel very strongly about Obama. He is a ***** that does not say the pledge, but many people are falling for his crap. I think that he can say he has nothing to do with the black panther movement or that ***** preacher from IL, but in all I feel he is still carrying out the ways of a true *****. The KKK and the arayns need to march on behalf of the white people with out them I feel we would not be. I'm a local supporter of the KKK I feel what they feel and I think the same way. Its gonna start a new civil war. and I'm worried that imma halfto raise my children in this hell whole we now call the usa........
Representation: Un-American (lack of pledge).
Response: Social Action (march).
On this website certain words, like fucker, are asterisked by the system. The people have usually written the full word, but it is not presented. The article represents Obama as un-American because he does not say the pledge of allegiance. Author suggests 1) marching. Marching is in the social action category.

Kbeemer, I am in full agreement with your sentiments (attitude). What really bothers me is that the American people have fallen for Obama's rhetoric. This half-breed is talking out of both sides of his mouth. Even more so than your typical politician does. A person does not have to be an Albert Einstein to recognize that this nig is anti-American and anti-white. Yes, his momma was white, but from what I have been able to discern, she was nothing more than a white ******.

It is definitely a fearful thing that there is a strong possibility that Obama may win the democratic nomination, which surprises the hell out of me. I would have never believed that "brotha-hood" would defeat womanhood, even though she is a Clinton, which in and of itself is a negative thing in my opinion. What the hell is going on in this Country? It is fearfully frightening!

As far as Obama's Pastor; Jeremiah Wright, who is a USA and white man hater himself, a person would be a fool to think that Obama attended that church for years and years, and didn't know personally that this man was ***** revolutionist. It is more of Obama's deceptive ways, and he says exactly what he knows his supporters want to hear. He is nothing more than a smooth talkin' snake in the grass.

Personally, I do not think this nig will win the Presidential election if he actually does win his party's nomination, because a nig is a nig, and he can't help himself, but to stay true to his creed and ways. I am hoping and praying that he and his militant bitch of a wife will be exposed for what they really are: USA and white-haters. Can you believe that this ****** looks down his nose at the average white Joe?

I am in agreement with you; we are in the beginnings of a real revolution, and Lord willing, this black-hearted and ruinous disaster will be checked by someone. Fight-on my brother! You are correct, our children's lives are at stake.

Response: Violent (fight).
Obama is referenced as a half-breed, two-faced, un-American, Anti-white, snake, black-hearted. Be careful here to not count the anti-America and Anti-white comments twice. Author suggests that 1) keep fighting. The representations are personality flaw, less than human, un-American, and animal. The response is violent.
Although it is tempting to use these pieces of propaganda they are not adequate sources.

RomanWarrior
"Friend of Stormfront"
Sustaining Member

Join Date: Oct 2008
Posts: 892

Re: Obama: No Recognition

Quote:

Originally Posted by HaploGroupG
At present, America does not have a 44th President of the United States.

Completely agree. All WN should follow this guideline. Obama is only Obama to us, nothing else.

We shall refuse to accept him as our president. Because there are too many discrepancies for his candidacy.

- Mainstream media sold him as a product. And sold other
candidates as garbage. This was done in a very transparent way. In a very insulting way because it means almost as if they didn't fear any kind of judgment or action from us.
- He is not a real American. He is a citizen, but not an American.
- He does not complies with the constitution to be a President. He was born one year before the allowed year.

MUTINY!!!!!!!!!

Response: Passive Subversion (refuse to see him as president), Violence (Mutiny).
Obama is seen as not being the president that he will become, so this is a negative reference. He is also seen as being un-American. Responses 1) refuse to see him as a president (passive subversion) 2) violence.

Re: The Obama Presidency: What you going to do about it?

Originally Posted by Thorgrim73
Now that Obama is in office, I want to hear what you Stormfronters are going to do about it. Now is the time to make some resolutions. Lets use this time to rededicate ourselves to our cause. I'm going to double the amount of money I donate to pro-white causes, increase my literature distribution, cancel my cable TV subscription and speak to as many whites as I can about our situation. What are you going to do?

We need to march on Washington in Force, On Jan 20th 2009 and protest this mongrels succession..

Divided we stand, United we fall.

We must fight!!!

Representation: Animal (Mongrel)
Response: Social action (march), Violence (fight).
This author sees Obama as a mongrel (animal) and suggests 1) march 2) fight. These responses are social action and violence.